#### Understanding the Role of Innovation in a Corporation An Innovation Assessment Methodology [IAM]

Innovation
Online
Building and Sustaining Innovation
Ideas, Self-help and News
Articulating innovation

Corporate

**April 29, 2013** 

#### The IAM consists of three main elements

#### Innovation culture

- To find out more about what stakeholders/employees really think about corporate innovation
- 25 Factor On-line Survey

# Spectrum of innovation

- To understand both the depth and breadth of innovation
- Spectrum of innovation

## Innovationoriented structures

- To asses whether the full range of structures are in place to spur innovation
- Innovation oriented structures check list

#### Each element is explained and an example provided

The **IAM** is a tool for better understanding the role of innovation in the corporation. By articulating three dimensions of innovation; culture, breadth and depth of innovation, and innovation structures, one can identify gaps, broaden the understanding of innovation, and compare activity and performance with peers and competitors.

Determining the **innovation culture** is aided by an on-line survey of stakeholders/employees' perceptions about the management practices impacting innovation. Knowing the opinions of others in the organization is a means of identifying major concerns, and gaps in policies and practices. Results can lead to focused action on actual and perceived problems.

Having a template which describes the **full range of innovation** in the corporation can be a help in identifying gaps and opportunities for innovative initiatives.

There are a variety of **organizational structures** which can be put in place to spur innovation. The check list, which is based on researching the practices of highly-innovative companies, can be used to identify gaps.

#### **Innovation culture**

The 25 Factor on-line survey<sup>1</sup> is shown below along with respondent's responses.

F#		'Ideal'	'BofB'	nent practices by Factor with benchmarks		
	Issue addressed			Respondent's comments – good practices		
1	Management's view on profits.	1.5	3.0	Management is prepared to wait a reasonable time for a payout from innovation, but not too long, Management is not looking for short-term profits.		
2	Management's view on the	-3.5	-4			
2	importance of innovation.	-3.5	-4	Management explicitly and aggressively looks for innovation.		
3	Tolerance of mavericks.	-2.5	-4	Management really does have a high tolerance for mavericks in the organization.		
4	Planning emphasis.	2.5	4	Management, when planning, put a strong emphasis on looking for opportunities and is less focused on rationing resources.		
5	Tolerance for failure.	-2.5	-4.0	Management has a reasonably high tolerance for failure.		
6	People and their	3.5	5	Leaders, by way of their management practices, put a great deal of emphasis on the		
	interactions			management of people and their interactions.		
7	Career for and recognition of innovators.	1.5	4	It is important to place some emphasis on recognizing innovators, but overall opinic very mixed.		
8	Tolerance to a corporate norm.	-0.5	-2.5	Opinions are on both sides of this Factor and not very strong either way. Perhaps not important Factor!		
9	Tolerance for risk (Planning)	0.5	2	Opinions are on both sides of this Factor and not very strong either way. W&P rates the benchmark higher than the 'Ideal'.		
10	Intra-firm communications formality.	-3.5	-4.5	The emphasis in an innovative culture is on a minimum of formal communication an encouragement of openness through less formality.		
11	Use of work independent work groups.	-2.5	-5.0	Viewed as an important management practice in a culture which supports innovativeness.		
12	Decision making is broadly based.	2.5	5.0	Input from the whole corporation is a value associated with innovative companies. L autocracy and more participation.		
13	Formality of decision process.	2.5	5.0	More informal and less formal is the view of respondents.		
14	Rewards for innovation.	-3.5	-4.0	Respondents advocate the use of specific rewards for innovation.		
15	Planning or action orientation.	-0.5	0	No consistent viewpoint.		
16	Attitudes towards mergers etc.	-1 to -2		It did not seem to matter much whether there was an open or closed attitude to major structural changes at the corporate level.		
17	Company versus personal	0 to 1		Divided opinion – with a slight view that there should be some encouragement for		
	loyalty.			personnel working towards personal development.		
18	Hierarchy; centralized or decentralized.	-2.5	-3.0	Definite desire for a decentralized organization with little hierarchy.		
19	Availability of resources.	2.5	4.0	The indication, or past evidence, of resources being available for innovation is a definite incentive to be innovative.		
20	Staff versus line involvements.	0 to 1		Divided opinion – but could be a significant Factor. Some argue for lots of staff involvement; others are opposed.		
21	Retention of innovators.	-1 to -2		In the ideal culture for innovation, innovators should stay with the corporation but respondent's reality seems to be that innovators leave.		
22	Innovative tradition.	2 to 3		Quite important to be seen to have a tradition of innovation. Hard to get, perhaps easy to lose.		
23	R&D budget levels.	1.5	4.0	Should be better than the competition but not over the top either.		
24	Perception of innovation trend.	2.0		Somewhat similar to responses to Factor #22. Perceptions in themselves act to encourage a culture for innovation.		
25	Role of employee groups.	-1 to -2		Not a hugely important Factor since opinions were divided and not given a heavy emphasis on either side.		

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  See  $\underline{\text{http://www.corporateinnovationonline.com}}$  for background information on the survey including an explanation of the benchmarks,

## Spectrum of innovation

The scope of innovation ranges from high risk investment in fundamental science through to lower risk associated with incremental



continuous improvement. Few if any corporations engage directly in the full range of innovation. Most corporations rely on or have partnerships with research institutions engaging in the most fundamental sciences. Corporations engage in applied science and most certainly in technologies relating to their own industry and, as well, keep a watchful eye on technologies which could disrupt their competitive advantage. A template for thinking about the full range of technologies – the spectrum of innovation - is shown above and an example of its application in the case of Starbucks is shown below.

	Starbuck's Innovation Profile <sup>2</sup>	Comment	
Type of Innovation	Evidence of Innovation by Type		
Science			
Fundamental Science	None	Not expected in this industry	
Applied Science	VIA development based on the chemistry of 'freeze-dried' technology/ Roast curve relationship	Unusual depth for this industry	
Technology			
Research	R&D spending as a % of sales/ Intent to be the 'coffee authority': maintaining a watchful eye on developments/'Know how'	Coffee is in Starbucks DNA	
Emerging technologies	?	Unclear	
Differentiating technologies	Quality of product/ R&D to develop less expensive soluble powders [eg. VIA]/ Sandwiches without a cheese smell/ Ethically-sourced coffee/ Merging coffee with a 'place'	Combination of 'technologies' provides the differentiation	
Common-use technologies	Loyalty program/ Clover equipment/ Mastrena equipment to improve quality, speed, and view	Keeping up to date with technology	
New business models	Store design/ Integrating coffee roasting with sales and with both bean and drink	Fundamental shifts in the industry	
New products	Store design [seating, wi-fi, comfort/'Street-named' stores/ Coffee quality and price/ Pike Place Roast/ Frappucino/ Coffee – 'bold'/ Sandwiches/ Branding realization [eg. Digital Ventures]/ VIA/ Renaming coffee to designate taste rather than bean	Probably the strongest Starbucks type of innovation	
Product extensions	Store openings/ Coffee variations/ Sandwich selections/ Coffee but in out-of- store locations/ Limited release reserve coffees	This type of innovation has propelled growth	
Business/continuous improvement	IT/ In-store information systems/ Mastrena = speed	Came as an afterthought after Starbucks decline	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Starbucks believes that innovation is in their DNA<sup>2</sup>. The company has introduced many innovations of all types over its history. Some innovations are more important than others. See full information on Starbucks spectrum of innovation visit; http://www.corporateinnovationonline.com

### **Innovation-oriented structures**

Innovation Manage Successful mana				In		
Innovation Management Initiatives		Examples fr	om DSM Practices			
Employee/stakeholder surveys relating to innovation		Examples II	om DSWI Hactices			
The scope of the survey is not available that the survey would touch on matters a innovation; such as communication, rew	it least related to	2011: % report up from first survey in 2007		х		
Dedicated organization arrangements to spur innovation						
Use of technical, business and executive	champions			?		
Use of task forces		Multi-disciplinary tean	ns	X		
Use of venture teams				?		
New venture division		DSM Venturing established.	Explores emerging markets and technologies.	х		
Business incubation		Innovation Centre works to establish new growth 'platforms'.		х		
SBU proliferation		Not yet in common use				
New business development within SBU		Separate centers established.		X		
Acquisition/Divestiture  Strategic acquisition		10 partnerships and acc Sinochem and Martek	quisitions in addition to	x		
Spin-off		JV on bio fuels global	licensing	X		
Spin-in		Not evident				
Financial Mechanisms designed to spur innovation				_		
Corporate venture capital		DSM Venturing		X		
R&D partnerships		Many examples		X		
Licensing		Intention in bio fuels jo	oint venture	X		
Technological structure designed to broaden and deepen inn			1			
Central R&D	Established an Incorporate level.	novation Centre at	DSM has a corporate research program focused on development projects.	x		
Decentralized R&D			ers in China and India.	X		
Balanced R&D	So stated in annu			X		
Contract out						
Strategic alliances aimed at marrying internal with external	competencies					
Joint venture		Worked with Crucell N.V. on breakthrough initiative.		х		
Three-tier venture		Engagement in Biomedical – a public private partnership		х		
Supplier partnerships				?		
Customer partnerships		DSM Dyneema with Badinotti		X		
Union partnerships		Perhaps not relevant given European model				
Privileged relationship; with a source of	technology	Dupont, POET		7		
	Government-sponsored venture					
Corporate governance and innovation values aimed at spurr Outside advisory group		ws classic structure	No outside innovation			
Character 1 D 1 1	N1	-4 '4'	group			
Strengthened Board role	No change evider			0		
CIO role	Was evident in 20	Vision 2010' – set out	May not be present?	?		
Corporate value re-orientation		nent to innovation.	DSM should become 'intrinsically innovative'	x		
Customer viewpoint	Business groups			Х		
Idea generation management			each dedicated to innovation.	X		
Measuring innovation			product sales as main  DSM uses a tool developed by an external consulting group.			
Incentives/rewards for innovators	Not evident for in					
Open collaboration	Interaction with i Sciences and Mat	ndustry partners and technology thought leaders re Life erials Sciences.		x		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Check list content was initially developed by staff of Arthur D. Little Inc. and subsequently adapted and modified by White & Partners Ltd. <sup>4</sup> DSM, The Netherlands is the example.